UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,807	10/10/2006	Susanne Kessler	SAW0033	4892
832 BAKER & DAI	7590 12/07/200 NIELS LLP	EXAMINER		
111 E. WAYNE	E STREET	MAEWALL, SNIGDHA		
	SUITE 800 FORT WAYNE, IN 46802		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/599,807	KESSLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Snigdha Maewall	1612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ja</u>	nuary 2000					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	<i>,</i> _					
•						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement					
	nootion roquiromont.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
<u> </u>						
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/599,807 Page 2

Art Unit: 1612

DETAILED ACTION

Claims **1-27** are pending:

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Claims 1 and 3-6 are drawn to use of glass composition with combination of agents to maximum of 99.5%, all other others besides silver oxide are from zero to some percentages.
- II. Claim 2 is drawn to use of glass composition with combination of various agents in particular to maximum of 80% by weight.
- III. Claim 7, 11-16 and 19 to glass composition...
- IV. Claim 8 is drawn to glass composition wherein sum of ingredients is more than 1 percent by weight.
- V. Claim 9 is drawn to glass composition wherein additional ingredients are added with specific percentages..

Application/Control Number: 10/599,807 Page 3

Art Unit: 1612

VI. Claim 10 is drawn to glass composition wherein J ranges from 3 to 70 percent by weight..

- VII. Claims 17-18 and 20-21 are drawn to ion releasing glass ceramic with J greater than 0.0001..
- VIII. Claims 22-26 are drawn to glasionomer cement. with or without ionreleasing antimicrobial glass ceramic as per claim 7.
- IX. Claims 27 is drawn to coating or veneering material..

The inventions listed as Groups I to IX do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Groups I to IX do not have a common technical feature that distinguishes the claims over the prior art.

The common technical feature found in all groups is use of glass composition comprising silver oxide for tooth filling. Prior art by WO 03/018498 which teaches glass composition comprising silver oxide up to 5%. Thus, the technical feature is lacking unity.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

From Group VIII:

Species 1 corresponds to polymer with ion releasing glasionomer and ion releasing antimicrobial, claims 22-26

Species 2 corresponds to an ion releasing antimicrobial ceramic according to claim 7 in claim 22-26

Applicant is required to elect only one species out of the above 2 species from claims 22-26.

From Group I, Applicant is required to elect single species of use i.e. use for coating or filling or veneering from claim 3.

Claims [1, 2, 7-10, 17, 22 and 27] are generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The single technical feature among the species are ion releasing antimicrobial. If it can be shown that one species is not novel over the prior art, then unity of invention is lacking.

In instant case, this is evidenced by WO 02/072038 wherein the prior art discloses a composition comprising antimicrobial anti-inflammatory glass powder and use of alkaline earth metals for dental materials.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 1612

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Snigdha Maewall whose telephone number is (571)-272-6197. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday; 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frederick Krass can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-0580. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

Application/Control Number: 10/599,807 Page 6

Art Unit: 1612

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Snigdha Maewall/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612 /Gollamudi S Kishore/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612